

July 20, 2020

Jeffrey and Lisa Lanctot % Brad Sturman Sturman Architects

Re: Lanctot Property, Wetland and Stream Delineation Report

The Watershed Company Reference Number: 210614

Dear Jeffrey and Lisa:

On June 30, 2021, Ecologist Jamie Sloan visited the subject property (parcel #0191100645) located adjacent north of 4609 89th Street SE in the City of Mercer Island (City) to screen for jurisdictional wetlands and streams present within the property boundaries. This report summarizes the findings of the study and includes a Field Sketch and Wetland Determination Data Form.

Summary

No wetlands or streams were identified on the subject property during the site visit.

Methods

Public-domain information for the subject property was reviewed for this delineation study. Resources and review findings are presented in Table 1 below.

The subject property was evaluated for wetlands using methodology from the *Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual* (Environmental Laboratory 1987) and the *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region Version 2.0* (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2010). Presence or absence of wetlands was determined on the basis of examination of vegetation, soils, and hydrology. These parameters were evaluated at several locations.

Characterization of hydrologic conditions in the Wetland Determination Data Form was determined using WETS table methodology (U.S. Department of Agriculture [USDA] Natural Resources Conservation Service [NRCS] 2015). The "RENTON MUNICIPAL AP, WA" station

from 1991-2020 was used as a source for precipitation data (http://agacis.rcc-acis.org/). The WETS table methodology uses rainfall from the three months prior to the site visit to determine if normal hydrologic conditions are present in the study area region.

The subject property was evaluated based on the presence or absence of an ordinary high water mark (OHWM) using methodology from *A Guide to Ordinary High Water Mark Delineation for Non-Perennial Streams in the Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region of the United States* (Mersel and Lichvar 2014) and as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, the Washington Administrative Code 220-660-031, and the Revised Code of Washington 90.58.030. The OHWM is typically located by examining the vegetation and bed and bank physical characteristics to approximate the water elevation for mean annual floods.

The subject property and data point location are shown on the enclosed Field Sketch.

Findings

The subject property is within in the Mercer Island subbasin of the Cedar River/Lake Washington watershed within the Cedar-Sammanish Water Resources Inventory Area (WRIA 8); Section 18 of Township 24 North, Range 5 East of the Public Land Survey System. Surrounding land use is largely characterized as single-family residential, with church and undeveloped City properties nearby.

The subject property is approximately 0.22 acres in size and undeveloped, aside from a treehouse, with generally flat topography, gently sloping to the southeast. Vegetation on site consists of mostly native forest with a shrub and emergent understory. A public trail borders the subject property to the north. To the east, a shallow drainage ditch connects the property to 89th Avenue Southeast.

Reviewed public-domain information for the subject property is summarized below in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of online mapping and inventory resources.

Resource	Summary			
USDA NRCS: Web Soil Survey	Arents, Alderwood material, 6 to 15 percent slopes is mapped on the subject property.			
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service: National Wetland Inventory Wetland Mapper	No features are mapped on the subject property.			

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW): Priority Habitats and Species on the Web	No features are mapped on the subject property.
WDFW: SalmonScape	No features are mapped on the subject property.
Washington Department of Natural Resources (WA DNR): Forest Practices Application Mapping Tool	No features are mapped on the subject property.
WA DNR Wetlands of High Conservation Value (WHCV)	No WHCV or rare plants are mapped on or near the subject property.
King County iMap	No features are mapped on the subject property.
WETS Hydrologic Condition	Hydrologic conditions were drier than normal for the three-month period prior to the site visit.

Non-Wetland Areas

The entirety of the subject property comprises non-wetland conditions, which do not meet any of the three criteria for hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, or wetland hydrology. In general, vegetation is dominated by a western red cedar, Douglas-fir, and bigleaf maple canopy; beaked hazelnut, English holly, and Pacific rhododendron understory; with a sword fern and English ivy emergent layer. The entire property is dominated by a prevalence of facultative-upland plants. Soils on site are high chroma mineral soils with no redoximorphic features. There are no primary or secondary hydrology indicators present on the property.



Figure 1. Looking south at the subject property vegetated with upland plants.



Figure 2. Looking south at treehouse on the subject property.

Streams

No streams were observed within the subject property's boundaries. The property is devoid of channels, erosion, hydraulically sorted sediments, and other indicators of stream channels.

Wetlands

As mentioned above, no wetlands were observed on the subject property.

Disclaimer

The information contained in this letter is based on the application of technical guidelines currently accepted as the best available science and in conjunction with the manuals and criteria referenced above. All discussions, conclusions, and recommendations reflect the best professional judgment of the author(s) and are based upon information available at the time the study was conducted. All work was completed within the constraints of budget, scope, and timing. The findings of this report are subject to verification and agreement by the appropriate local, state, and federal regulatory authorities. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made.

Please call if you have any questions or if we can provide you with any additional information.

Sincerely,

Jamie Sloan

Ecologist

References

- Environmental Laboratory. 1987. "Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual," Technical Report Y-87-1, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.
- Mersel, M.K. and R.W. Lichvar. 2014. A Guide to Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM)

 Delineation for Non-Perennial Streams in the Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast
 Region of the United States. ERDC/CRREL TR-14-13. Hanover, NH: U.S. Army Engineer
 Research and Development Center.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2010. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region (Version 2.0). ERDC/EL TR-10-3. US Army Engineer Research and Development Center. ed. J. S. Wakely, R. W. Lichvar, and C. V. Noble. Vicksburg, MS.
- USDA NRCS. 2015. National Engineering Handbook, Part 650 Engineering Field Handbook, Chapter 19 Hydrology Tools for Wetland Identification and Analysis. ed. R. A. Weber. 210-VI-NEH, Amend. 75. Washington, DC.



Field Sketch - Mercer Island Lanctot

Site Address: North of 4609 89th Street, Mercer Island Prepared for: Jeffrey and Lisa Lanctot

Parcel Number: 0191100645 TWC Ref. No.: 210614

Site Visit Date: June 30, 2021



Note: Field sketch only. Features depicted are approximate and not to scale. All observations were made from within the subject property; adjoining private properties were not entered.



WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

DP-1

Project/Site: Mercer Island Lanctot		City/	County: N	Mercer Island, King	Co Samplii	ng date:	6/30/21	1		
Applicant/Owner:Jeffrey and Lisa Lanctot				State:	WA Sam	pling Poi	int: DP-	1		
Investigator(s): Jamie Sloan	Section									
Subregion (LRR): A Lat: -			,		Datum: -		,			
					— Upland					
Soil Map Unit Name: Arents, Alderwood material, 6 to 15 percent slopes NWI classification: Upland Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in remarks.)										
Are Vegetation □, Soil □, or Hydrology □ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present on the site? ☑ Yes □ No										
Are Vegetation □, Soil □, or Hydrology □ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)										
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.										
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes	-	×	,	реж						
Hydric Soils Present? Yes		ls ·	Is the Sampled Area Western 12 Yes No No			No 🏻				
 		⊸ w	ithin a We	etland?	162 [_	10 🖂			
Remarks: Hydrologic conditions were drier										
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plan	nts.									
	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test	worksheet:					
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 5-m diameter)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Domir	nant Species		0			
1. Acer macrophyllum 2.	80	Yes	FACU	that are OBL, FA				(A)		
3.				Total Number of Species Across a			3	(B)		
4.				Percent of Domin			0	(4 (5)		
	80	_ = Total Co	ver	that are OBL, FA				(A/B)		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 3-m diameter) 1. Corylus cornuta	75	Yes	FACU	Prevalence Inde Total % Cover of:		: Multiply	bv:			
2. Ilex aquifolium	20	No	FACU	OBL species		x 1 =		_		
3. Symphoricarpos albus	20	No	FACU	FACW species		x 2 =		_		
Thuja plicata Rhododendron macrophyllum	20 10	No No	FACU	FAC species FACU species	<u>25</u> 258	x 3 = _ x 4 =	75 1,032	_		
6. Rubus armeniacus	5	No	FAC	17100 000000	200		1,002	_		
	150	= Total Co	ver	UPL species		x 5 =				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 1-m diameter)				Column Totals:	283	(A)	1,107	(B)		
2.				Prevalence Index	x = B/A = 3	3.9				
3.					Vegetation I					
4 5.				☐ 1 – Rapid Te	est for Hyaropi ce Test is > 5		etation			
5. 6.					ce Index is ≤					
7.				4 – Morpholo	ogical Adaptat Remarks or on	ions¹ (Pr	ovide supp	oorting		
8. 9.					temarks or on Non-Vascular	•	ate sneet)			
10.					Hydrophytic V		n¹ (Explair	n)		
11				¹ Indicators of hyd present, unless d				ust be		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 3-m diameter)		_ = Total Co	ver	present, unless d	isturbed or pro	וומווטוטוט	<u>J.</u>			
1. Hedera helix	50	Yes	FACU	Hydrophytic						
2. Polystichum munitum	<u>3</u> 53	No = Total Co	FACU	Vegetation Present?	Yes		No 🛚			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum:	ეკ	_ = 10tal C0	vei	i ieseilt!						
Remarks:				-						

SOIL Sampling Point: DP-1

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)										
Depth <u>Matrix</u> (inches) Color (moist)	%	Color (m	oist) Redox Features %	Type ¹ Loc	,2	Texture	Remarks			
0-8 10YR 3/2	100					Loam				
8-16 7.5YR 4/4	100					Loam				
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depl	etion. RM	1=Reduced	d Matrix. CS=Covered or C	Coated Sand Grains.	² Loc: PL	=Pore Lining, M=Ma	atrix.			
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applica						rs for Problematic				
☐ Histosol (A1) ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)					□ 2cm Muck (A10)					
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)			Stripped Matrix (S6)		☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)					
☐ Black Histic (A3)			Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)	(except MLRA 1)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)					
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface	·e (Δ11)		Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Depleted Matrix (F3)		☐ Oth	er (Explain in Rema	rks)			
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	(((())		Redox Dark Surface (F6)		³ Indicato	ors of hydrophytic ve	getation and			
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)			Depleted Dark Surface (F7	")	wetla	and hydrology must	be present, unless			
☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)			Redox Depressions (F8)	-	aistu	rbed or problematic				
Restrictive Layer (if present):				Hydric soi		_	_			
Type:				present?	•	Yes 🗆	No 🗵			
Depth (inches):				·						
Remarks:										
HYDROLOGY										
Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of o	ne requir	ed: check	all that apply)		Second	ary Indicators (2 or i	more required)			
☐ Surface water (A1)	no roquii		Water-Stained Leaves (except MLRA 1. 2. 4A		Vater-Stained Leave				
☐ High Water Table (A2)			& 4B) (B9)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		, 4A & 4B)	(B6) (M21011)			
☐ Saturation (A3)			Salt Crust (B11)			Prainage Patterns (B	,			
☐ Water Marks (B1)			Aquatic Invertebrates (B			Ory-Season Water Ta				
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)☐ Drift Deposits (B3)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (Oxidized Rhizospheres a	,		Saturation Visible on A Seomorphic Position	0 , (,			
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Presence of Reduced Iro			Shallow Aquitard (D3	` '			
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)			Recent Iron Reduction in	, ,		AC-Neutral Test (D				
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)			Stunted or Stressed Plan		□R	Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)			
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial			Other (explain in remark	s)	□ F	rost-Heave Hummo	cks			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concaverage Field Observations:	e Surface	e (B8)		T						
			5 4 4 3							
Surface Water Present? Yes		No ⊠	Depth (in):		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☐ N		∕es □ No ⊠			
Water Table Present? Yes		No ⊠	Depth (in):	Presen			NO Z			
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe)	_ I	No ⊠	Depth (in):							
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:										
Remarks: Dry soil.										
Remarks: Dry soil.										